

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/826,681	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CELIK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ngoclan T. Mai	<b>Art Unit</b> 1742	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ngoclan T. Mai.

(2) Paul Bianco.

**Status of Application: RCE**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 29 March 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*1-4, 14, 18-19, 21*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Merz et al. 4,660,663*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Merz et al discloses the claimed alloy powder for electrical resistor. Examiner believes that claim(s) drawn to laminated ceramic capacitor allowable and suggests applicant to amend as such. Claims 8-10 and 16-17 should be cancelled.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)